

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/843,348	04/26/01	ESSING	-	М	5029-39
		QM12/0917	7 [EXAMINER
THOMAS C. PONTANI, ESQ. COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE				CASTELLANO, S	
SUITE 1210		TOTHIN & PAVANE	[ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
551 FIFTH A NEW YORK NY			_	3727	4
				DATE MAILED	: 09/17/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	09/843,341	Essing
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Castella	no 3727
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet b	peneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply		2
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	eply within the statutory minin expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication .
Status		·
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193		
Disposition of Claims		·
☑ Claim(s)/- 8		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)		
© Claim(s) 1-8		is/are rejected.
	-	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)_/-8 ☐ Claim(s)	-	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s) / - 8 ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)	g Review, PTO-948.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) / - 8 Claim(s)	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s)	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are object ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are object ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number ☐ Received ☐ received ☐ In Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number ☐ In Indiana ☐ Indiana	g Review, PTO-948 is approved ted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents have	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Claim(s) Cla	g Review, PTO-948 is approved ted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents have the priority documents hav	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. disapproved. Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received:	g Review, PTO-948 is approved ted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents have the priority documents hav	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. disapproved. Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Cla	g Review, PTO-948 is	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under and acknowledgment is made of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. The ceeived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Intervaluation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notes and Company of the Certified copies not received: Cattachment(s)	g Review, PTO-948 is approved ted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents have a priority document from the priorit	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. disapproved. Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. Preceived received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	g Review, PTO-948 is approved ted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents have a priority document of the	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Art Unit: 3727

1

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Devall et al. (Devall).

Devall discloses a venting device provided on a fuel tank that has an equalizing opening (bottom opening in housing 80), the device comprises an anti-surge element (64, 66) arranged in front of the equalizing opening, the element comprises a fixed component with individual channels (144, 146, 164) with a diameter smaller than the diameter of the equalizing opening

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Devall in view of Danowski.

Devall discloses the invention except for the anti-surge element is not a sintered part.

Danowski teaches three sintered plastic discs (16, 18, 20). It would have been obvious to modify

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/843,348

Art Unit: 3727

the anti-surge element to be a sintered part in order to quickly and easily manufacture a plastic

porous filter by a sintering method to save time and manufacturing costs.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

1. 2

6. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging

FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)305-3579. This practice

may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which

require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the

examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into group 3720

will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is (703)-308-1035.

Stephen Castellano Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

September 7, 2001

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CTR 1-85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application